Hendrix Questionaire

Case:_		
Date o	f Case:// Count	y of:
Case N	umber:	Our records indicate that the
verdic	t was \$00 aw	arded to the
1. Is	this verdict amount corr	ect? (circle YES or NO).
	YES	NO
If y	ou circled NO, specify th	e correct amount: \$00
2. Spe	cify the awarded costs: \$ criterian transfer to the control of the	.00 The costs were
	PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT
ver the	dict negotiation which le	paid in full or was there post- ad to a settlement different from s? (circle "PAID IN FULL" or
	PAID IN FULL	SETTLEMENT
The state of the s		was there an attempt by either yment? (circle "YES" or "NO"):
	YES	NO
should	not answer any more ques	have completed this survey and you stions. If you DID NOT circle maker the remaining questions.
4. PI	lease check <u>all</u> the state	ments below that apply to this case:
****	Negotiations occured befo	ore any post-verdict motions.
	Negotiations occurred aft the judge ruled on the mo	er a post-verdict motion but before otion.
	Post-verdict negotiation on a post-verdict motion	occurred after the judge ruled
	Post-verdict negotiation	occurred after an appeal was filed.
Their betts stage owen makes		f the "winning side" would side" would waive rights to to appeal.
FECOR ARTIS COOK SAME COOK	Plaintiff made an offer paid in full.	to waive interest if the verdict was

mann eladig triper transp elega	Defendant made an offer to pay the verdict in full if the plaintiff would waive interest.
*****	Animosity between opposing clients influenced negotiation.
	Animosity between opposing attornies influenced negotiation.
	The plaintiff's financial position influenced negotiations.
***************************************	The defendant's financial position influenced negotiations.
	Judge activism influenced negotiation.
*****	The threat of a motion for a Judgment notwithstanding the verdict influenced negotiation.
******************************	The threat of a remittitur motion influenced negotiation.
****	The threat of a new trial motion influenced negotiation.
*******************************	The threat of an appeal influenced negotiation.
*****	A desire to avoid publicity influenced negotiation.
	The interest on the judgment influenced negotiation.
SMART ROLLS NEEDS ANDER METERS	The "losing" attorney needed to gain a concession to "save face" with the client, after "losing" the trial.
SELECT SECURITY SECURITY SECURITY	The "winning" attorney realized that post-verdict negotiation is a standard practice, and that "winners" are often expected to waive at least some portion of the award as a professional courtesey.
	id the final settlement call for a lump sum payment or for eriodic payments? (circle LUMP SUM or PERIODIC)
	LUMP SUM PERIODIC
If you	u circled "LUMP SUM," specify the settlement amount:
	*00
	u circled "PERIODIC," what was your assessment, at the time e negotiation, of the present value of the settlement?
	*00
to de	ou circled "PERIODIC," did you utilize a consultant service termine the present value of the settlement arrangement? le "YES" or "NO")

YES NO

THANK YOU for all your efforts in completing this survey!!

Exhibit Two

University of Wisconsin Law School Madison, WI July 15, 1986

Dear Attorney:

We are currently engaged in a study of post-verdict negotiations to determine what factors influence it, how it works, and what its effects include. We would like your help. Enclosed is a short, two page questionaire. We would greatly appreciate your assistance in answering the survey and returning it to us, in the enclosed envelope, by August 30.

Any information provided on the survey will be kept in confidence and will only be used in the aggregate. Because our sample size includes all cases for 198_ in the state of Wisconsin, we will be able to preserve confidentiality. Aggregate data, we hope, will be published at a later date. If published, we would be happy to supply you with a copy.

Because of the importance of this study to the current debate over the "tort litigation crisis," we would like to determine what the awards typically are and, more importantly, what the final financial arrangements are. This can only be done with your contribution. I can assure you that your efforts in filling out this short survey will be greatly appreciated!!

Thank you in advance for all your time and efforts.

Most sincerely,

Steven E. Hendrix

P.S. If you have any comments, please feel free to contact me personally at: 141 Lakewood Gardens Lane, Madison, WI 53704 or at (608) +++ - ++++, or contact Professor ++++ +++++++ at the Law School or by phone at +++ - ++++.

Hendrix Questionaire

Our records indicate that the verdict (or negligence split, if a negligence case) was \$00 awarded to the						
1. Is this verdict (or negligence split) correct? (circle "YES" or "NO"). YES NO						
If you circled "NO," specify the correct amount: \$00						
2. Specify the awarded costs: \$00 The costs were awarded to the (circle "PLAINTIFF" or "DEFENDANT"):						
PLAINTIFF DEFENDANT						
3. Were the verdict and costs paid in full or was there post- verdict negotiation which lead to a settlement different from the awarded verdict and costs? (circle "PAID IN FULL" or "SETTLEMENT"):						
PAID IN FULL SETTLEMENT						
If you circled "PAID IN FULL," was there an attempt by either side to bargain prior to the payment? (circle "YES" or "NO"):						
YES NO						
If you circled NO , then you have completed this survey and you should not answer any more questions. If you DID NOT circle NO, then please continue to answer the remaining questions.						
4. Please check <u>all</u> the statements below that apply to this case:						
Negotiations occured before any post-verdict motions.						
Negotiations occurred after a post-verdict motion but before the judge ruled on the motion.						
Post-verdict negotiation occurred after the judge ruled on a post-verdict motion.						
Post-verdict negotiation occurred after an appeal was filed.						
An offer was made that if the "winning side" would waive costs, the "losing side" would waive rights to post-verdict motions or to appeal.						
Plaintiff made an offer to waive interest if the verdict was paid in full.						
Defendant made an offer to pay the verdict in full if the plaintiff would waive interest.						
Animosity between opposing clients influenced negotiation.						

Animosity between opposing attornies influenced negotiation.								
The plaintiff's financial position influenced negotiations.								
The defendant's financial position influenced negotiations.								
Judge activism influenced negotiation.								
Proposals for periodic payments influenced negotiations.								
The cost of additional litigation influenced negotiation.								
The threat of a motion for a Judgment notwithstanding the verdict influenced negotiation.								
The threat of a remittitur motion influenced negotiation.								
The threat of a new trial motion influenced negotiation.								
The threat of an appeal influenced negotiation.								
A desire to avoid publicity influenced negotiation.								
The interest on the judgment influenced negotiation.								
The "losing" attorney needed to gain a concession to "save face" with the client, after "losing" the trial.								
The "winning" attorney realized that it is often practical to waive at least some portion of the award.								
5. Did the final settlement call for a lump sum payment or for periodic payments? (circle LUMP SUM or PERIODIC)								
LUMP SUM PERIODIC								
If you circled "LUMP SUM," specify the settlement amount:								
\$00								
If you circled "PERIODIC," what was your assessment, at the time of the negotiation, of the present value or cost of the settlement arrangement?								
\$OO								
If you circled "PERIODIC," did you utilize a consultant service to determine the present value of the settlement arrangement? (circle "YES" or "NO")								
YES NO								

If there were factors contributing to or against post-verdict negotiation that were not addressed by this survey, please explain them on the back side of this survey.

THANK YOU for all your efforts in completing this survey!!

Exhibit Four

University of Wisconsin Law School Madison, WI July 15, 1986

Dear Attorney:

We are currently engaged in a study of post-verdict negotiations to determine what factors influence it, how it works, and what its effects include. We would like your help. Enclosed is a short, two page questionaire. We would greatly appreciate your assistance in answering the survey and returning it to us, in the enclosed envelope, by August 30.

Any information provided on the survey will be kept in confidence and will only be used in the aggregate. Because our sample size includes all cases for 1985 in the state of Wisconsin, we will be able to preserve confidentiality. Aggregate data, we hope, will be published at a later date. If published, we would be happy to supply you with a copy.

We would case:	1d 1	ike	Aon	to	answer	the	survey	consic	lering	the	following
										***************************************	**
Date:	//	(Count	у	of:	***** ***** ***** ***** **		Case	Number	- H	the after backs while offerd stage easier offers topic to

Because of the importance of this study to the current debate over the "tort litigation crisis," we would like to determine what the awards typically are and, more importantly, what the final financial arrangements are. This can only be done with your contribution. I can assure you that your efforts in filling out this short survey will be greatly appreciated!!

Thank you in advance for all your time and efforts.

Most sincerely,

Steven E. Hendrix

P.S. If you have any comments, please feel free to contact me personally at: 141 Lakewood Gardens Lane, Madison, WI 53704 or at (608) 246-3976, or contact Professor ++++ ++++++++ at the Law School or by phone at +++ - ++++.

