al term agreements for *ejidal* land now may extend up to thirty years.²²⁸ In order for the *ejidal* group to obtain credit by effectively mortgaging the land, it may authorize a usufruct in favor of a commercial institution.²²⁹ Individual landowners may also authorize a usufruct with their own property.²³⁰ In the case of breach of a guaranteed obligation, the creditor may foreclose on the property.²³¹ At the conclusion of the usufructary term, the property appropriately reverts to either the *ejido* or the individual.²³²

No individual within an *ejido* can hold more than five percent of the total *ejidal* property.²³³ The state is committed to promoting activities which protect community life, facilitate free development, and improve conditions.²³⁴ Finally, self-management is encouraged, subject to imposed limits, to guard against fraud or dispossession.²³⁵

Latifundios are still illegal under the Mexican Constitution.²³⁶ The new legislation covers inheritance of rural property rights.²³⁷ In order to avoid the formation of *minifundios*, the law requires that land pass to a single beneficiary.²³⁸ There is a three-month survivorship requirement.²³⁹ If the *ejidal* member dies without a will or any surviving heirs, the property now passes to the *ejido*, rather than to the state.²⁴⁰

Ejidal rights can be extinguished in the following manner: (1) the land may be transferred to another person; (2) the *avecinado* may

231 See Ley Agraria, art. 46 D.O. 920811 (1991) (Mex.).

232 See id.

233 See MEX. CONST. art. 27; Ley Agraria, art. 47 D.O. 920811 (1991) (Mex.).

234 See Ley Agraria, art. 7 D.O. 920811 (1991) (Mex.).

235 See id. art. 14.

²³⁶ MEX. CONST. art. 27. Latifundios are land holdings owned by an individual that exceed the limits of a small property. See Ley Agraria, art. 115 D.O. 920811 (1991) (Mex.). Small property includes agricultural land encompassing up to one hundred hectares. See id. arts. 120–33. Excluded from this category are: cotton farms up to 150 hectares; up to 300 hectares of land used for bananas, sugarcane, coffee, henequen, rubber, palm, vine, olives, quinine, vanilla, cacao, agave, prickly pear, or fruit trees, and up to 800 hectares of commercial forestland. See id. arts. 117, 119. Similarly, limits on property used for cattle depend on the number of livestock and other variables. See Ley Agraria, arts. 120–33 D.O. 920811 (1991) (Mex.).

²³⁷ See Ley Agraria, art. 17 D.O. 920811 (1991) (Mex.) (discussing wills); *id.* art. 18 (discussing intestacy). Article 18 establishes the following priority order among survivors: (1) the spouse, (2) the concubine, (3) one of the children, (4) one of the other relatives, and (5) any other economic dependent.

²³⁸ See Ley Agraria, art. 18 D.O. 920811 (1991) (Mex.).

239 See id.

240 See id. art. 19.

²²⁸ Id. art. 45.

²²⁹ Id. art. 46.

²³⁰ See id.

renounce his rights, in which case the land passes to the *ejido*; or, (3) the land may be lost on account of adverse possession.²⁴¹ *Ejidal* land dedicated to housing may not be mortgaged, transferred, or sold, nor can the land be lost on account of possession.²⁴² This rule does not apply, however, to the individual members' housing lots, which are fee-simple properties.²⁴³ In general, *ejidal* common land may not be sold, mortgaged, transferred, or lost because of adverse possession, unless there is approval by both the assembly and the Special Attorney General for Agriculture.²⁴⁴

In order to promote productivity and development, *ejidos* now may form corporations, partnerships, unions, or associations.²⁴⁵ *Ejidal* members now may obtain certificates of private property ownership.²⁴⁶ These certificates enable the *ejidatarios*, without authorization from the assembly, to either use, rent, or sharecrop the land.²⁴⁷ *Ejidatarios* may also sell their interest to other members of the same *ejido*, provided that neither the wife nor the children of the *ejiditarios* challenge the sale.²⁴⁸

Once properties have been divided and marked, the assembly may give members fee-simple ownership (*dominio pleno*).²⁴⁹ Once fee-simple ownership is assigned, members may request a registered title from the local public registry.²⁵⁰ This grant of a fee-simple interest for a single piece of property does not change the status or organizational structure of the entire *ejidal* community.²⁵¹ Similarly, if a member sells his land to a non-member, the seller retains his member status with the *ejidal* group, as long as the member still owns at least one other property within the *ejido*.²⁵²

New reforms to Mexico's *ejido* system also included the appointment of an Attorney General for Agriculture office which functions as an alternative dispute resolution mechanism.²⁵³ This office also

²⁵³Lev Agraria, art. 134 D.O. 920811 (1991) (Mex.); see Thome Memorandum, supra note

²⁴¹ *Id.* art. 20. The period for adverse possession in good faith is five years; if the occupation is in bad faith, the period is 10 years. *Id.* art. 48.

²⁴² Ley Agraria, art. 64 D.O. 920811 (1991) (Mex.).

²⁴³ Id. arts. 64, 68.

²⁴⁴ Id. art. 73 et seq.

²⁴⁵ Id. art. 50.

²⁴⁶ Id. arts. 56, 78.

²⁴⁷Ley Agraria, art. 79 D.O. 920811 (1991) (Mex.).

²⁴⁸ Id. art. 80.

²⁴⁹ Id. art. 81.

²⁵⁰ Id. art. 82.

²⁵¹ See id. art. 83.

²⁵²Ley Agraria, art. 83 D.O. 920811 (1991) (Mex.).

has elements of a national, rural legal services program because it offers legal assistance to poor rural populations.²⁵⁴

C. Implementation and Impact

1. The Historically Disadvantaged

Historically in Mexico, land has caused controversies such as protest marches, allegations of abuse, and violent conflicts.²⁵⁵ Thus, by proposing the most sweeping agricultural reforms since the Mexican Revolution, then-President Salinas challenged the country's deepest sensitivities.²⁵⁶ Salinas received widespread support for his reform proposal from many important *campesino* groups.²⁵⁷ Former presidential candidate Cuauhtémoc Cárdenas, however, has been critical of the new measures.²⁵⁸

Under prior law, title to the *ejido* belonged to the state, with community *ejidatarios* possessing only usufructary-like rights.²⁵⁹ Under the new legislation, however, the *ejido* will receive title.²⁶⁰ Once authorized by the *ejido* assembly, each *ejidatario* will receive a *derecho ejidal*, or *ejidal* right.²⁶¹ This right may be converted into a full freehold title (*dominio pleno*) if the *ejido* assembly decides, by a

²⁵⁵ See, e.g., Mario de Cautin, Mexico: Farmworkers to March on Capital, INTER PRESS SERV., Apr. 8, 1985, available in LEXIS, News Library, Inpres File; John Hurst, Baja's Mixtecs: Life Amidst Squalor, L.A. TIMES, June 29, 1986, at A1.

²⁵⁶ See David Clark Scott, Mexican Agricultural Reforms Set Stage for a New Revolution, CHRISTIAN SCI. MONITOR, Nov. 21, 1991, at 1.

²⁵⁷ Manifiesto Campesino, JORNADA (Mexico City), Dec. 2, 1991, at 26-27.

²⁵⁸ Cárdenas fears that commercial land markets created by the reforms will lead to a reconsolidation of landholdings, greater poverty in the countryside, and eventual social explosion. See Cuauhtémoc Cárdenas, En Defensa de la Constitución, JORNADA (Mexico City), Feb. 7, 1992, at 30–31; Mexico: Agriculture & Politics, LATIN AM. WKLY. REP., Nov. 21, 1991, available in LEXIS, News Library, LAN File.

²⁵⁹ See Thome Memorandum, supra note 211, at 7.

²⁶¹ See id.

agricultural and *ejidal* lands. *Id.* In addition to the main Mexico City office, delegations throughout the country ensure general access to agrarian justice. *See* Ley Agraria, art. 137 G.O. 920811 (1991) (Mex.). Although the law specifies certain required credentials, the Attorney General need not speak Maya or be a licensed attorney. *See id.* art. 140. The Assistant Attorneys General, however, must be lawyers with at least two years of experience. *Id.* art. 141. The Attorney General and the Assistant Attorneys General are appointed by the President. *Id.* arts. 142–47.

²⁵⁴ See Thome Memorandum, supra note 211 (noting comments made by Arturo Warman, Director to the *Procuraduría Agraria*, at the Presidential Palace in Mexico City on July 27, 1992).

²⁶⁰ See id.

two-thirds majority, that all *ejido* members may convert their right.²⁶² This conversion will most likely occur in urban areas, where property values have greatly increased.

The new laws allow the *ejidal* members to decide for themselves how to manage and administer their land.²⁶³ Similarly, the titling process under the new legislation is voluntary.²⁶⁴ Arguably, therefore, the law transfers political power in the countryside from the executive to the individual.²⁶⁵

The law distinguishes between common and parcelized areas of an *ejido*.²⁶⁶ For example, the new law does not permit common areas to be the subject of commercial transactions.²⁶⁷ Furthermore, the *ejido* group determines communal property uses while individuals decide personal property uses.²⁶⁸ The law also recognizes that many people living on the *ejido* are not *ejidatarios*, but rather descendants of *ejidatarios* and *avecinados*.²⁶⁹ Although these individuals often rent and work on the *ejido*, they did not have, under previous law, access rights to commons or housing and usually did not participate in decision-making.²⁷⁰ Conversely, the new law allows rental by non-*ejidatarios* on *ejidos* and participation in decision-making.²⁷¹

Additionally, women are recognized as both *ejidal* participants and owners.²⁷² *Ejidal* assemblies may give women, for their protection and development, special rights to an "industrial farm unit" within the housing area of the *ejido*.²⁷³

After conversion to a fee-simple interest, the first sale of an *ejidal* lot generally can be vetoed by family members, persons who have worked on the land for at least a year, other *ejidatarios* and *aveci-*

267 See id.

268 See id.

269 See id.

²⁷⁰ See Salinas Address, supra note 219.

271 See id.

²⁷² See Ley Agraria, art. 12 D.O. 920811 (1991) (Mex.).

²⁷³ See id. arts. 63, 71. The law also allows the *ejidal* assemblies to grant children land within the housing area dedicated for their special use. See id. arts. 63, 72.

²⁶² See id.

²⁶³ See Nueva Legislación Agraria, GRACETA DE SOLIDARIDAD (Mexico City), Apr. 1992, at 9; Salinas Address, supra note 219.

²⁶⁴ See Thome Memorandum, supra note 211, at 7.

²⁶⁵ See id. at 6. The *Procuraduria General* will facilitate implementation of the new laws and promote understanding in the communities. It is difficult to predict, however, whether the *Procuraduria* will simply inform communities of their options or exert influence over their decisions.

²⁶⁶ See Salinas Address, supra note 219.

nados, and the ejidal group.²⁷⁴ Although the previous law did not require that sales be publicly registered, Mexican law did not prejudice third parties who had no notice of the transaction.²⁷⁵

The new laws, however, provide for registry formalities²⁷⁶ and informal agrarian dispute resolution.²⁷⁷ Therefore, the reforms provide greater and more efficient access to justice for the historically disadvantaged.

The new legislation was intended to drastically erode the established power base of the *Partido Revolucionario Institucional* (PRI), which is comprised of the traditional *ejidal* presidents and local *caciques*.²⁷⁸ It is anticipated that the PRI will lose influence over the agrarian bureaucracy and *campesino* organizations which previously helped deliver the vote.²⁷⁹

2. Trade and Investment

The most important elements of then-President Salinas's strategy to modernize Mexico, eliminate paternalism and protectionism, bring the country into the world economy, and promote economic development in rural areas, are the changes in tenure and titling included in Article 27 of the Constitution and the provisions of the North America Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA).²⁸⁰ Unlike NAFTA, however, Salinas could have implemented the Constitutional changes without the coordination of foreign governments.²⁸¹ Salinas singlehandedly opened up the countryside to foreign investment and corporate ownership for the first time in seventy years.²⁸² Salinas stated that his purpose was to transform Mexico into a more efficient and modern country.²⁸³ Accordingly, Salinas averred that the new law would promote tenure security and therefore increase and sustain investment and credit, generating employment and higher standards of living.²⁸⁴

²⁷⁴ See id. art. 150. This veto must be made within 30 days of the notice of sale. *Id.* art. 84. ²⁷⁵ Ley Agraria, art. 150 D.O. 920811 (1991) (Mex.).

²⁷⁶ See id. arts. 148-56.

²⁷⁷ See id. arts. 163-200.

²⁷⁸ See Damian Fraser, Salinas Prepares to Do Battle with Old Allies, FIN. TIMES, Nov. 8, 1991, available in LEXIS, News Library, Fintme File [hereinafter Fraser, Salinas Prepares].

²⁷⁹ See Scott, supra note 256.

²⁸⁰ Daniel James, Salinas Reforms Agrarian Reform, WASH. TIMES, Dec. 4, 1991, at F2.

²⁸¹ See Thome Memorandum, supra note 211, at 2.

²⁸² See Mexico Frees Its Farms, Too, Economist, Nov. 16, 1991, at 49.

²⁸³ See Fraser, Salinas Prepares, supra note 278.

²⁸⁴ Salinas Address, supra note 219.

As a direct result of the amendment to Article 27, the Mexican Department of Agriculture and Water was able to enlist the Chicago Board of Trade, Merrill Lynch, Spatts, and several other brokerage houses and Mexican financial institutions as consultants to create a new agricultural commodities market.²⁸⁵ This market is to be modeled after the Chicago Mercantile Exchange.²⁸⁶ This amendment may facilitate the conversion of the *ejidos* to private property which may lead to the formation of partnerships with both domestic and foreign investors.²⁸⁷

Joint ventures between private investors and *ejidatarios* have sprung up throughout Mexico. One such venture is the \$12 million Vaquerías Project with Pepsico, which brought irrigation to 8,750 acres of staple grains.²⁸⁸ Over 350 producers, including *ejidatarios* and smallscale farmers, have benefitted from this project.²⁸⁹ Other projects, valued at \$68 million, are currently under way.²⁹⁰ In addition, the Bank of Mexico has established a US\$5.3 billion fund for the agricultural sector in anticipation of a greater demand for credit.²⁹¹

Large commercial producers, however, may not be interested in acquiring *ejidal* land or participating in joint ventures.²⁹² For this group, contract farming—where commercial entities purchase produce from small farmers in exchange for seed, technical assistance and fertilizer—may be more desirable.²⁹³ On the other hand, local farmers with medium-sized properties seeking more land may be interested in the availability of *ejidal* property.²⁹⁴

287 See id.

²⁹⁰ See Junco, supra note 285. Additionally, more than 1,000 joint venture projects between agricultural producers have been contracted. The Ministry of Agriculture reports that 150 joint ventures between private investors and small landowners were scheduled to begin in 1992, doubling the total for 1991. See id.

²⁹¹ See Mexico Increases Farm Loans in Anticipation of Private Investment, 1 U.S. – MEXICO FREE TRADE REP. 2 (May 4, 1992); Henry Tricks, Mexico Moves to Put Farming Back in Business, REUTER BUS. REP., Dec. 26, 1991, available in LEXIS, News Library, Reubus File.

²⁹² See Thome Memorandum, supra note 211, at 8.

293 See id.

²⁹⁴ See *id*. Some *ejidal* lands may have tremendous economic value because they are located within urban or industrial areas. See *id*. at 2. For example, 50% of Mexico City is *ejidal* land. See *id*. In addition, a large percentage of the land near the U.S. border is also *ejidal*. See *id*. at 8.

1995]

²⁸⁵ Alejandro Junco, *The Birth of New Markets*, WALL ST. J., Sept. 22, 1992, at B13 (Special Advertising Section).

²⁸⁶ Id.

²⁸⁸ See id.

²⁸⁹ See id.

By a two-thirds vote, *ejidal* community members may transform the *ejidatarios* into private property holders. *Ejidos* may also form joint ventures with the private sector and use their lands as collateral for loans.²⁹⁵ Furthermore, private property holders may form jointstock companies in order to avoid constitutional limits on property size and also to raise money in the capital markets.²⁹⁶

As part of the modernization process, Mexico hopes to replace agricultural subsidies to small landholders with investment from the private sector.²⁹⁷ The new law is designed to allow corporate investment in agriculture by creating a legal structure for joint ventures and by permitting informal rental arrangements to be brought within legal boundaries.²⁹⁸ Luís Téllez, then-Undersecretary of Agriculture, predicted that, as a direct result of increases in productivity due to the new legislation and investment, the proportion of agricultural workers to total workers in Mexico would fall from twenty-six percent to sixteen percent over the next decade.²⁹⁹

3. The Environment

In most cases, *ejidal* land can be converted from rural to urban.³⁰⁰ This is particularly important near Mexico City, where a large portion of the land is presently *ejidal* but can also be used for urban housing.³⁰¹ The law prohibits this conversion, however, if the land is located in an environmentally-protected zone.³⁰²

Prior to the changes to Article 27, the administration had the authority to grant forest concession rights on *ejidal* property without

296 Id.

298 Id.

²⁹⁹ See id. Commentators have blamed the old agrarian law for Mexico's food problems. Steven Nagourney of Shearson Lehman Brothers notes that the old law was:

... the basic reason Mexico [was] importing 10 million tons of grain per year to feed itself, while preventing the trickle down so necessary to sustain and complete its current privatization program.... Without a growth-oriented agricultural sector, and a form of private land ownership that can be collateralized, Mexico [would have never grown] beyond the "emerging market" stage into a true "free market."

See General Developments: Mexico, INT'L TRADE REP. (BNA), (Nov. 13, 1991), available in LEXIS, BNA Library, Intrad File.

300 See Ley Agraria, art. 87 D.O. 920811 (1991) (Mex.).

301 Id.

³⁰² *Id.* art. 88. The Mexican government has established certain zones where it wishes to preserve or conserve environmental resources. *Id.*

²⁹⁵ Damian Fraser, Mexican Farm Laws Face Radical Reform; Millions of Farmers Will Win Right to Own Private Property, FIN. TIMES, Feb. 11, 1992, available in LEXIS, News Library, Fintme File [hereinafter Fraser, Mexican Farm].

²⁹⁷ See Cornelius, supra note 220, at 5.

consulting the *ejidatarios* or the assembly.³⁰³ Under the new agrarian law, the *ejidos* may decide for themselves how to best use their lands.³⁰⁴ Some critics have attacked the new legislation on environmental grounds, arguing that a policy of commercialization of land will promote the profit-making exploitation of agricultural production.³⁰⁵ This commercialization has been associated with increased agricultural productivity.³⁰⁶ Traditional concepts of efficiency, however, ignore potential destructive effects on the environment. If sustainable, environmentally-friendly, agricultural production is the goal, then commercialization may not be the most productive method for growing crops. The *ejidal* system seems the more productive method because it maintains an acceptable level of output without allowing irreparable damage to the natural resource base.

Critics argue that the systems of extensive agriculture which now utilize half of Mexican land have caused most of the country's loss of tropical forests and have degraded large tracts of farmland, especially in the northern arid and semi-arid areas.³⁰⁷ These commentators also maintain that the productivity of commercial farming is inflated because it receives soft loans and subsidies from the government, and it over-utilizes energy and water supplies.³⁰⁸

There are several reasons why the changes in Mexican legislation are important to the United States. On the one hand, if former President Salinas is correct, Mexico will become a competitive producer of agricultural products in an expanded North American market. Mexico's success in creating domestic employment will directly lower the level of Mexican legal and illegal immigration into the United States, thereby negatively affecting the supply of labor in the American market.³⁰⁹ On the other hand, if the changes in Mexico fail, large segments of Mexico's rural population may be displaced; urban centers like Mexico City will be expected to absorb

³⁰⁹ Fausto Fernandez Ponte, Ejido, Imigración y El Mercado de Mano de Obra en E.U., JORNADA (Mexico City), Dec. 2, 1991, at 26-27.

1995]

³⁰³ See Salinas Address, supra note 219.

³⁰⁴ See Victor Manuel Toledo, Ecological Objections to the Reforms to Article 27, 24 THE OTHER SIDE OF MEXICO 7 (Jan./Feb. 1992).

³⁰⁵ See id.

³⁰⁶ See id.

³⁰⁷ See id. at 7-8.

³⁰⁸ See id. Another critic, Demetrio Sodi de la Tijera, has attacked the new legislation, arguing that it will drive the poor to cities because when the state cuts its ties with the *campesinos*, these people will have to become economically viable enough to migrate to urban areas, which are environmentally-ill-prepared to absorb them. Christopher Moscarella, *Environmental Issues Omitted from Agrarian Reform*, NOTIMEX MEX. NEWS SERV., Feb. 24, 1992, available in LEXIS, News Library, Notimx File.

the population surplus; and the United States may face renewed illegal immigration.

IV. HONDURAS: DECREE 31-92 (1992)³¹⁰

A. Background

The Honduran history of land reform is similar to that of many countries in the hemisphere.³¹¹ The main beneficiaries of the land reform program were large farms which were worked in common.³¹² Profits were divided among the members of the group farm.³¹³

The original Honduran agrarian reform program did not allow for the unrestricted transfer of land received.³¹⁴ New legislation such as "*La Ley para la Modernización y el Desarrollo del Sector Agrícola*," however, which permits the titling and transfer of land held by peasant groups as a result of their participation in the agrarian reform, has been passed.³¹⁵

The new law derived from a 1989 inter-institutional, governmental commission report³¹⁶ which examined methods of modernizing the old agrarian reform.³¹⁷ The government reviewed the existing legislation, noting problems in equity, efficiency, and sustainability.³¹⁸ The draft legislation received the support of President Rafael Callejas and most major *campesino* groups with the exception of the *Central Nacional de Trabajadores del Campo* (CNTC).³¹⁹

³¹⁵ See Ley de Reforma Agraria, art. 41(a) D.O. 21–482 (1975) (Hond.), amended in part by Ley para la Modernización y el Desarrollo del Sector Agrícola, art. 65 D.O. 31–92 (1992).

³¹⁶ See Roger Norton, Cronología del Anteproyecto de Ley Para la Modernización y el Desarrollo del Sector Agrícola 1 (Nov. 29, 1991) (unpublished manuscript, on file with author) [hereinafter Norton, Cronología].

³¹⁷ See Mario Nufio Gamero, El Nuevo Programa para la Modernización y el Desarrollo del Sector Agrícola Nacional 1 (Fall 1991) (unpublished manuscript, on file with author).

³¹⁸ See Secretaría de Recursos Naturales, Una Nueva Política de la Tenencia de la Tierra: Hacia la Dinamización de Toda la Agricultura Hondureña 1 (May 1991) (unpublished manuscript, on file with author) [hereinafter Una Nueva Política].

³¹⁹ See Centrales Campesinas dan luz verde a la Ley de Modernización Agrícola, EL HERALDO (Mexico City), Dec. 17, 1991. Those who view restrictions on the sale or transfer of property as a violation of the new ideals of the free market support the legislation. See Norton, Cronología, supra note 316, at 1.

³¹⁰ Ley para la Modernización y el Desarrollo del Sector Agrícola, D.O. 31–92 (1992).

³¹¹ See generally Randy Stringer, Honduras: Toward Conflict and Agrarian Reform, in SEARCH-ING FOR AGRARIAN REFORM IN LATIN AMERICA 358 (William C. Thiesenhusen ed., 1989). ³¹² See id.

³¹³ See id.

³¹⁴ See Ley de Reforma Agraria, Decree 170 D.O. (1975) (Hond.).

1995]

B. Coverage

1. Size Limits Remain

A minifundio is characterized as a plot of land comprising less than one hectare.³²⁰ A latifundio is defined as property one hundred to two thousand hectares in size, depending on location, availability of irrigation, and slope.³²¹ The President of Honduras, however, through the Office of the Secretary of Natural Resources, may grant exceptions to this maximum size limit.³²²

2. Rental

Rental of agrarian reform land is now legally permitted, unless the land has not yet been paid for.³²³ There are no limitations on rental of nonagrarian reform land. Sharecropping, however, is not permitted, even under the new law.³²⁴ The International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD) reports that fifty-six percent of the land in Honduras is rented under terms often most favorable to landowners.³²⁵

3. Buying and Selling Property and Appropriate Land Use

Under the Honduran land reform, the beneficiaries must pay for the land they receive.³²⁶ The new law establishes a twenty-year term for payment.³²⁷ Until this obligation is settled, the state holds a first mortgage on the land for the amount of the adjudication.³²⁸

Beneficiaries may inherit, mortgage, or sell the property.³²⁹ Until they discharge their debt, however, beneficiaries can sell their land

³²⁰ Ley de Reforma Agraria, art. 34 D.O. 21–482 (1975) (Hond.), as amended by Ley para la Modernización y el Desarrollo del Sector Agrícola, art. 50 D.O. 31–92 (1992).

³²¹ Ley de Reforma Agraria, art. 25 D.O. 21-482 (1975) (Hond.).

³²² Ley de Reforma Agraria, art. 25 D.O. 21–482 (1975) (Hond.), as amended by Ley para la Modernización y el Desarrollo del Sector Agrícola, art. 54 D.O. 31–92 (1992).

³²³ See Ley Para la Modernización y el Desarrollo del Sector Agrícola, art. 54 D.O. 31-92 (1992).

³²⁴ Id.

³²⁵ Latin America: 76 Million Poor in Rural Areas, IFAD Says, INTER PRESS SERV., Nov. 23, 1992, available in LEXIS, News Library, Inpres File.

³²⁶ See Ley de Reforma Agraria, art. 89 D.O. 21–482 (1975) (Hond.), as amended by Ley Para la Modernización y el Desarrollo del Sector Agrícola, art. 65 D.O. 31–92 (1992).

³²⁷ Id.

³²⁸ Id.

³²⁹ See Ley de Reforma Agraria, art. 93 D.O 21–482 (1975) (Hond.), as amended by Ley para la Modernización y el Desarrollo del Sector Agrícola, art. 65 D.O. 31–92 (1992).

only to individuals who themselves would qualify for ownership under the agrarian reform legislation.³³⁰ Once the beneficiaries have paid for the land, the property becomes freely transferable.³³¹ While this solution compromises free marketability and commercialization of title, it does avoid the return of *latifundios*.³³²

As a disincentive for agrarian cooperatives to sell their land, Article 70 of the Modernization Law imposes a tax on sales.³³³ If the cooperative sells land exceeding one hundred hectares in size to an entity which is not itself an agrarian reform beneficiary, the sale will be taxed at twenty percent of its value.³³⁴ In addition to discouraging the sale of land by cooperatives, this tax is also designed to prevent a reconsolidation of landholdings.³³⁵ Because the tax is levied on the value of the sale, the law may leave open the possibility of an "official" transaction price for tax evasion purposes.³³⁶

When a beneficiary dies, any debt outstanding on the property is forgiven.³³⁷ The new law, therefore, combines land access with a form of life insurance, assuring that heirs receive the real estate to which they are entitled free of economic encumbrances.

While prior law required a ten-year period for adverse possession of national and *ejidal* land, the requisite period is now three years, provided the land is occupied and used.³³⁸ The new law is, therefore, much more beneficial to possessors of property.³³⁹

C. Implementation and Impact

1. The Historically Disadvantaged

The new legislation strived to promote land access for the historically disadvantaged.³⁴⁰ Roughly three-quarters of the *campesino* groups

³³⁰ The language of the statute, at this point, is contradictory. While it explicitly states that beneficiaries receive "dominio pleno," or fee-simple absolute, the statute, in the very next sentence, imposes a restriction. See id. In effect, the result is a fee-simple conditional estate.

³³¹ See Ley de Reforma Agraria, D.O. 21–482 (1975) (Hond.), as amended by Ley para la Modernización y el Desarrollo del Sector Agrícola, art. 64 D.O. 31–92 (1992).

³³² Id. The law also requires the owner to work the land. Id.

³³³ Ley para la Modernización y el Desarrollo del Sector Agrícola, art. 70 D.O. 31–92 (1992).
³³⁴ See id.

³³⁵ See Norton, Cronología, supra note 316, at 1.

^{3.36} See id.

³³⁷Ley de Reforma Agraria, art. 84 D.O. 21–482 (1975) (Hond.), as amended by Ley Para la Modernización y el Desarrollo del Sector Agrícola, art. 64 D.O. 31–92 (1992).

³³⁸ Ley Para la Modernización y el Desarrollo del Sector Agrícola, art. 15 D.O. 31–92 (1992) (Hond.).

³³⁹ Interview with Ricardo Arias, APAH Project, in Tegucigalpa, Honduras (June 16, 1992). ³⁴⁰ See Una Nueva Política, *supra* note 318, at 55.

participated in the concertación and in drafting the legislation.³⁴¹ Other campesino groups,³⁴² who had elected not to participate in the concertación, and who purportedly represent the majority of workers in rural areas, have opposed the legislation.³⁴³ Other individuals and groups, including the Catholic Church, have also opposed the law.³⁴⁴

The new Honduran legislation allows, for the first time, equal access to land for both men and women.³⁴⁵ In fifty percent of squatter settlement households in Honduras, women are the primary income earners.³⁴⁶ Only thirty percent of these women, however, received title to the property they occupied.³⁴⁷ After these findings were released, a publicity campaign was initiated which encouraged women to value their contributions to household duties and reproduction as worthy of land titles.³⁴⁸ Nonetheless, women comprise only four percent of all agrarian reform beneficiaries.³⁴⁹

³⁴³ Agrarian Reform Overtaken by Modernization, 19 CENT. AM. REP. 23, 24 (Jan. 31, 1992) [hereinafter Agrarian Reform Overtaken].

³⁴⁴ For instance, Juan Ramón Martínez, president of the Instituto Nacional Agrario (INA), or National Agrarian Institute, resigned in opposition to the law. See id. In addition, two days before the law's enactment, 40,000 peasants protested the bill. See Despite Protests, New Law Is Passed: End to Agrarian Reform and Subsidized Credit, LAT. AM. WKLY. REP., Mar. 19, 1992, available in LEXIS, News Library, LAN File [hereinafter Despite Protests]. The Roman Catholic Church has also stated that the former agrarian reform was incomplete. Indeed, while the Agrarian Reform Law has benefitted 400,000 families, it still has left 200,000 peasant families without land. See id. Although there were initial clashes between peasants and public forces upon enactment, the mood of the country has since calmed considerably. See Army Ultimatum to Peasant Squatters; Major Confrontation Arises from New Agrarian Law, LAT. AM. WKLY. REP., June 11, 1992, available in LEXIS, News Library, LAN File.

³⁴⁵ See Agrarian Reform Overtaken, supra note 343; Roger Norton, Aspectos a Favor de los Beneficiarios de la Reforma Agraria (Nov. 28, 1991) (unpublished manuscript, on file with author) [hereinafter Norton, Aspectos]. Actually, Decree 129–91 of Oct. 31, 1991 amended articles 79(a) and 84 of the Agrarian Reform Law to recognize that women may gain title to property. See Decree of Oct. 31, 1991, L.G. 920125 (1992) (Hond.). Executive Decree 12–92 of Jan. 27, 1992, created the Comité de Integración de la Mujer a la Reforma Agraria, a committee with a two-year life span. See Executive Decree of Jan. 27, 1992, L.G. 920307 (1992) (Hond.).

³⁴⁶ See Nancy Sheehan, Proceedings for Gender and Natural Resources Tenure Workshop 24 (paraphrasing comments made by J. David Stanfield, University of Wisconsin (Madison) Land Tenure Center) (Oct. 1991) (unpublished manuscript, on file with author).

347 Id.

³⁴⁸ Id.

³⁴⁹ See Candy Gourlay, Development: "Invisible Women" Bear Brunt of Poverty, INTER PRESS SERV., Nov. 23, 1992, available in LEXIS, News Library, Inpres File. The new law should address these concerns.

1995]

³⁴¹ See Norton, Cronología, supra note 316.

³⁴² The main group opposed to the legislation is the Consejo Coordinador de Organizaciones Campesinas de Honduras (COCOH). See Interview with Dr. J. David Stanfield, Researcher at the University of Wisconsin (Madison) Land Tenure Center (Nov. 18, 1992) [hereinafter Stanfield Interview].

While the new legislation allows for the uninhibited transfer of land, the only remaining incentive to redistribute would be to tax the practice of holding land idle.³⁵⁰ For a land tax to be effective, however, the nation must have a comprehensive *cadastre*, a mechanism which is not presently in place in Honduras.³⁵¹ Because of this deficiency, land market activation thus may be difficult to achieve through this procedure.

In addition, government credit to historically disadvantaged groups has been discontinued.³⁵² Loans are available only through the private sector, offered at market rates to credit-worthy borrowers.³⁵³ Peasant group advocates have expressed concerns over these matters.³⁵⁴

Another concern is consolidation in the land market.³⁵⁵ Because the new law makes it easier for cooperatives to sell land, it has been utilized by organizations facing pressure to pay off large commercial debts.³⁵⁶ In 1991, for example, at least ten cooperatives sold land to multinational companies, mainly banana companies like Standard Fruit and Tela Railroad.³⁵⁷

To further boost the land market, the Honduran government has announced the formation of a new land bank.³⁵⁸ Observers hope that this establishment will counter complaints regarding the modernization law and land access for the resource-poor.³⁵⁹

The new legislation strips INA of its authority to assist farmers with small plots in obtaining official credit from the *Banco Nacional de Desarrollo Agrícola* (BANADESA), or National Agricultural Development Bank.³⁶⁰ In the past, INA had helped *campesinos* with loan applications and other documentation for BANADESA.³⁶¹ Now, presumably, these farmers must apply directly to BANADESA.

³⁵⁰ See Despite Protests, supra note 344.

³⁵¹ See John Strasma, Land Issues and Land Tax Reform in Central America, Address at the Meeting of the Agency for International Development (Nov. 13, 1992) [hereinafter Strasma, AID Address].

³⁵² See Despite Protests, supra note 344.

³⁵³ See id.

³⁵⁴ See id.

³⁵⁵ See New Law Annuls Agrarian Reform, 19 CENT. AM. REP. 70, Mar. 13, 1992 [hereinafter New Law Annuls].

³⁵⁶ See id.

³⁵⁷ See Stanfield Interview, supra note 342.

³⁵⁸ Id.

³⁵⁹ See Agrarian Reform Overtaken, supra note 343, at 23-24.

³⁶⁰ Id.

³⁶¹ See Stanfield Interview, supra note 342.

With regard to indigenous groups, the impending impact of the legislation remains unclear. Because indigenous groups in Honduras have historically used their lands communally, individual ownership previously has been inappropriate.³⁶²

2. Trade and Investment

1995]

The new law reduces the threat of expropriation.³⁶³ Although farms exceeding the landholding ceilings and lands abandoned for more than eighteen months—or two years in cases of natural disaster—will still be subject to expropriation, in all other cases, this threat appears less likely.³⁶⁴ Because landholders may now rent idle land, this land will be brought into production, thus avoiding the risk of expropriation.³⁶⁵

By transforming land into a commodity which can be bought and sold to qualified beneficiaries, Honduras is attempting to both prevent a return to *latifundios* and to promote an active land market tailored to the historically disadvantaged.³⁶⁶ In addition to dealing with land issues, the new legislation also eliminates price controls on agricultural products and privatizes the storage facilities of the *Instituto Hondureña de Mercado Agrícola* (IHMA), or Honduran Agricultural Marketing Institute.³⁶⁷ Furthermore, the law also eliminates restrictions on foreign investment for timber production.³⁶⁸

3. The Environment

Although the new legislation allows property to be held with fee-simple interests, property holders cannot freely utilize timber resources.³⁶⁹ A special provision requires State Forest Administration approval before cutting trees for commercial purposes, whether on public or private land.³⁷⁰ The structure of this provision is unusual,

364 Id.

³⁶² Lisa Swenarski, Hondurans Stake Their Claim, CHRISTIAN SCI. MONITOR, Dec. 28, 1990, at 12–13.

³⁶³ See Roger D. Norton, The Law for Agricultural Modernization and Development 2-3 (Nov. 18, 1991) (unpublished manuscript, on file with author) [hereinafter Norton, Modernization and Development].

³⁶⁵ See New Law Annuls, supra note 355, at 70.

³⁶⁶ See Norton, Modernization and Development, supra note 363.

³⁶⁷ See Norton, Cronologia, supra note 316.

³⁶⁸ See id.

³⁶⁹ See Ley para la Modernización y el Desarrollo del Sector Agrícola, art. 73 D.O. 31-92 (1992) (Hond.).

however, because owners receive full ownership of forest assets located on their land, provided they voluntarily agree to a forest management plan approved by the government.⁸⁷¹

In addition, the new legislation eliminates state participation in the processing and marketing of wood and wood products,³⁷² while, at the same time, dismantling the log export ban.³⁷³ The legislation also provides for a phasing out of export commissions paid to the government and requires that stumpage fees reflect the true cost of reforestation.³⁷⁴ In general, unused, privately-held land is subject to expropriation under the agrarian reform and may be sold to beneficiaries.³⁷⁵ Land which remains forested is not necessarily "unused" under the new legislation.³⁷⁶ The new law, therefore, removes any incentive for deforestation simply to preserve property rights.³⁷⁷

V. COMPARISONS BETWEEN THE FOUR JURISDICTIONS

A. Coverage

The Appendix contains summaries of what Nicaragua, Peru, Mexico and Honduras have done on each of the major restrictions on property rights.

B. Implementation and Impact

1. The Historically Disadvantaged

Privatization or individualization of property rights may be the natural evolution of an economy moving toward market-based principles. It also may be the product of an imposed legal change.³⁷⁸ In either case, land policies attempt to strike a balance between security of private ownership and social needs which may limit that secu-

376 Id.

³⁷¹ See Norton, Modernization and Development, supra note 363, at 5.

³⁷² Id.; see Nufio, supra note 317, at 8.

³⁷³ See Nufio, supra note 317, at 8.

³⁷⁴ See Norton, Modernization and Development, *supra* note 363, at 5; Secretaría de Recursos Naturales, Proyecto de Ley Para la Modernización y el Desarrollo del Sector Agrícola: Documentos de Apoyo (Sept. 9, 1991) (unpublished manuscript, on file with author).

³⁷⁵ See Ley para la Modernización y el Desarrollo del Sector Agrícola, art. 51(b) D.O. 31–92 (1992) (Hond.).

³⁷⁷ See id. Previously, because forests were considered vacant lands, trees were cut to avoid expropriation. INA required that 90% of the land be covered in permanent crops. None of the regulations or procedures, however, addressed land suitability. See GEORGE JOHNSTON ET AL., HONDURAS NATURAL RESOURCE POLICY INVENTORY 5 (1990).

³⁷⁸ See Bruce, African Experience, supra note 8.